PYO/S8/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2005. OM8 0:51-0031
U.S. Patent and Tredemark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM			Application Number		10/750,275		
			Filing Date		December 30, 2003		
			First Named Inventor		Robert A. Luciano, Jr.		
			Art Unit		3713		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name		Hsu, Ryan		
Total Number of Pages in Th			Attorney Docket N	umber	10407-989		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC			
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board		
		Petition		of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC			
Amendment / Reply				(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund					
		CD, Number of CD(s)					
Information Disclosure Statement		☐ Landscape Table on CD			RECEIVED		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks CENTHAL FAX CENTER					
Reply to Missing Parts/		OCT 2 8 2005					
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.62 or 1.53							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm		Brown Raysman Millstein Felder & Steiner					
Signature							
Printed Name		Andrew B. Chen					
Date		October 28, 2005 Reg. No.		48,508			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450. Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.							
Signature							
Typed or printed name Andrew B. Chen					Date	October 28, 2005	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 mirules to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademerk Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS LADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Robert A. Luciano, Jr.;

Examiner:

Hsu, Ryan

Warren White

Application No.:

10/750,275

Group Art Unit:

3713

Filing Date:

December 30, 2003

Office Action Date:

August 3, 2005

Docket No.

10407-989

Confirmation No.

9180

Title: VOUCHER GAMING SYSTEM AND METHOD Customer No.

30076

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 2 8 2005

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sirs:

This amendment is responsive to the Office action of August 3, 2005 and is being timely filed without any extension of time.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims, which begins on page 2 of this рарет.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 7 of this paper.

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-31 and 33-46 are pending in the present application. Claim 32 has been canceled, without prejudice. Claims 20-30 have been objected to by the Examiner for insufficient antecedent basis for a limitation in the claims. Claims 4-6 and 27-29 stand rejected by the Examiner under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claims 1, 2, 4-21, 25-30, 32, 40, and 44-46 stand rejected by the Examiner under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Wilms (US 5,277,424). Claims 3, 14, 34-35, 38-39, and 42 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilms. Claims 15-16, 23-24, 36-37, and 43 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Wilms in view of Skratulia (US 5,690,335). Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims.